## **Context and Conditions**

These projects were a requirement for *MIT 531: Assessment of Learning Outcomes* completed in the Summer I of 2007 under the direction of Dr. Abdou Ndoye. This project was created for low functioning autistic students in high school. This individual project was completed for an Instructional Technology Grant Team that consisted of Beth Allred, Jeff Ertzberger, Dr. Monica Campbell, and I.

## Scope

This project consisted of creating a proposal for learning outcomes and assessment techniques. Due to time constraints of the summer class, only a portion of the assessment tools were completed.

This project included analysis and design. It was completed in three phases. The first phase included indentifying the learning outcomes and matching them with the appropriate taxonomy. Next, the instructional techniques were identified. A rationale was written for each learning outcome assessment technique. During the second phase, the assessment tool and rubric were created. The third phase entailed analyzing the tools for validity and reliability along with identifying any threats to the validity or reliability.

## Role

I served as the instructional designer for this project. I identified the learning outcomes based on the learners. As an instructional designer, I was able to identify the appropriate taxonomy for each learning outcome. Based on the learning outcome and identified techniques, an assessment tool was created. Once the assessment tool was created, it was evaluated for the validity and reliability.

## Reflection

This was an excellent class. It reinforced the importance and understanding learning outcomes in relation to assessment in an instructional setting. I felt that I would have enjoyed the course more if it had been a semester long course. There was a plethora of information and only a short time period. I did struggle with aligning the learning outcome to the assessment technique and realized that I had to have a detailed rationale of why I choose the assessment technique over others.

Domain of Evaluation				
Competencies	Job Description	Artifacts	Justification	
Plan and conduct	Evaluates and		These artifacts	

	1.	1000 501	
summative evaluation	assesses distance	MIT 531 –	demonstrate my
of	learning courses and	Assessment of	ability to conduct
instruction/training.	programs	Learning	evaluation to
		Outcomes - IT	determine whether
	Evaluates quality of	Innovations	the major outcomes
	distributed course	Gaming Grant	were achieved and
	materials		the overall
			effectiveness of the
	Develop and		program
	conduct evaluations		1 0
	to ensure knowledge		
	transfer, and		
	application on the		
	job of skills learned		
	job of skins featied		
	Conduct post-		
	training analysis to		
	determine		
	improvements in		
	performance,		
	observation of		
	changes in job		
	performance and		
	report findings to		
	training manager		
	<b>.</b>		
	Working knowledge		
	of Kirkpatrick's four		
	levels of evaluation		
	is demonstrated		